

# Slow/fast kinetic scheme with slow diffusion

P. Rouchon

Centre Automatique et Systèmes, École des Mines de Paris  
60 Bd. Saint Michel, 75272 Paris cedex 06, FRANCE.

Email: pierre.rouchon@ensmp.fr.

Internal Report A195  
March 1997

This short note follows [3]. We consider here slow/fast chemical reactions with additional slow diffusions:

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = \eta \Delta x + v(x, \epsilon) \quad (1)$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  are the concentration profiles,  $\Delta = \nabla \cdot \nabla$ ,  $\epsilon$  is a small positive parameter,  $\eta (\sim \epsilon)$  is the diffusion matrix (symmetric and positive definite) and  $v(x, \epsilon)$  corresponds to the kinetic scheme. As in [3], we assume the following slow/fast structure for  $v$  [4]:

**A1** for  $\epsilon = 0$ ,  $\frac{dx}{dt} = v(x, 0)$  admits an equilibrium manifold of dimension  $n_s$ ,  $0 < n_s < n$ , denoted by  $\Sigma_0$ .

**A2** for all  $x_0 \in \Sigma_0$ , the Jacobian matrix,  $\left. \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right|_{(x_0, 0)}$  admits  $n_f = n - n_s$  eigenvalues with a strictly negative real part (the eigenvalues are counted with their multiplicities).

Locally around  $\Sigma_0$ , there exists a partition of  $x$  into two groups of components,  $x = (x_s, x_f)$ , with  $\dim(x_s) = n_s$  and  $\dim(x_f) = n_f$ , such that the projection of  $\Sigma_0$  on the  $x_s$ -coordinates is a local diffeomorphism.

Using the approximation lemma of invariant manifold, and its version for slow/fast systems [2, theorem 5, page 32], we are looking for an asymptotic expansion versus  $\epsilon$ ,

$$x_f = h_0 + h_1 + \dots,$$

of an invariant slow manifold of (1) closed to  $\Sigma_0$  ( $h_0, h_1, \dots$  depend on the profiles  $x_s$ ). The slow equations derived here below, and, in particular, the slow diffusion terms, admit a rather unexpected form that has, as far as we known, nether been derived elsewhere.

Following [2, 3], the zero order approximation  $h_0$  is defined by the algebraic equation (in the sequel, we do not recall the dependence versus  $\epsilon$ )

$$v_f(x_s, h_0) = 0.$$

$h_1$  is obtained by zeroing of the first order term in

$$\frac{\partial x_f}{\partial t} - \left( \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial x_s} + \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_s} + \dots \right) \frac{\partial x_s}{\partial t} = 0.$$

Using the shortcut notations  $h_{0,s} = \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial x_s}$ ,  $\dots$  we have

$$(v_{f,f} - h_{0,s}v_{s,f})h_1 = h_{0,s}(\eta_s\Delta x_s + \eta_{sf}\Delta h_0 + v_s) - \eta_{fs}\Delta x_s - \eta_f\Delta h_0$$

with

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_s & \eta_{sf} \\ \eta_{fs} & \eta_f \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta h_0 = h_{0,ss}(\nabla x_s, \nabla x_s) + h_{0,s}\Delta x_s$$

and where the functions are evaluated at  $(x_s, x_f = h_0(x_s))$ . Using

$$h_{0,s} = -v_{f,f}^{-1}v_{f,s},$$

we obtain the following first order approximation of the slow dynamics:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x_s}{\partial t} &= C(x_s, h_0)(\eta_s\Delta x_s + \eta_{sf}\Delta h_0 + v_s(x_s, h_0)) \\ &\quad + E(x_s, h_0)(\eta_{fs}\Delta x_s + \eta_f\Delta h_0) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the correction matrix  $C$  is identical to the one in [3],

$$C = 1 - v_{s,f}(v_{f,f}^2 + v_{f,s}v_{s,f})^{-1}v_{f,s}$$

and  $E$  is defined by

$$E = -v_{s,f}(v_{f,f}^2 + v_{f,s}v_{s,f})^{-1}v_{f,f}.$$

When the kinetics  $v$  is in Tikhonov normal form, i.e.,  $v = (\epsilon v_s, v_f)$ , we recover (up to second order terms in  $\epsilon$ ) the classical reduced model

$$\frac{\partial x_s}{\partial t} = \eta_s\Delta x_s + \eta_{sf}\Delta h_0 + v_s(x_s, h_0), \quad v_f(x_s, h_0) = 0.$$

We will consider now the case, already pointed out in [1] and considered in [3], of affine fast fibers: the change of coordinates yielding to Tikhonov normal form is linear. Using notation of [3, section 4, equation (18)], (1) admits the special structure

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial x_s}{\partial t} &= \eta_s \Delta x_s + \eta_{sf} \Delta x_f + A_{ss} \epsilon \tilde{v}_s(x_s, x_f) + A_{sf} \tilde{v}_f(x_s, x_f) \\ \frac{\partial x_f}{\partial t} &= \eta_{fs} \Delta x_s + \eta_f \Delta x_f + A_{fs} \epsilon \tilde{v}_s(x_s, x_f) + A_{ff} \tilde{v}_f(x_s, x_f).\end{aligned}$$

The change of coordinates

$$(x_s, x_f) \mapsto (\xi = x_s - A_{sf}(A_{ff})^{-1}x_f, x_f).$$

leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} &= (\eta_s - A_{sf}A_{ff}^{-1}\eta_{fs})\Delta x_s + (\eta_{sf} - A_{sf}A_{ff}^{-1}\eta_{ff})\Delta x_f \\ &\quad + (A_{ss} - A_{sf}(A_{ff})^{-1}A_{fs}) \epsilon \tilde{v}_s \\ \frac{\partial x_f}{\partial t} &= \eta_{fs}\Delta x_s + \eta_f \Delta x_f + A_{fs}\epsilon \tilde{v}_s + A_{ff}\tilde{v}_f.\end{aligned}$$

Assuming that eigenvalues of

$$A_{ff} \left( v_{f,f} + A_{sf}A_{ff}^{-1}v_{f,s} \right)$$

have strictly negative real parts, then the quasi-steady-state method can be applied and leads to the following slow system

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} &= (\eta_s - A_{sf}A_{ff}^{-1}\eta_{fs})\Delta x_s + (\eta_{sf} - A_{sf}A_{ff}^{-1}\eta_{ff})\Delta x_f + \dots \\ &\quad \dots + (A_{ss} - A_{sf}(A_{ff})^{-1}A_{fs}) \epsilon \tilde{v}_s \\ 0 &= \tilde{v}_f.\end{aligned}$$

Pulling back into the original coordinates  $(x_s, x_f)$  yields:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial x_s}{\partial t} &= \left[ 1 - A_{ss}(A_{ff})^{-1}v_{f,f}^{-1}v_{f,s} \right]^{-1} \left( (\eta_s - A_{sf}A_{ff}^{-1}\eta_{fs})\Delta x_s + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. \dots + (\eta_{sf} - A_{sf}A_{ff}^{-1}\eta_{ff})\Delta x_f + (A_{ss} - A_{sf}(A_{ff})^{-1}A_{fs}) \epsilon \tilde{v}_s \right) \\ 0 &= \tilde{v}_f(x_s, x_f).\end{aligned}$$

Let us finish with a small example derived from [3, equation (1)] by adding slow diffusion:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial t} &= \eta_1 \Delta x_1 - k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2 - \epsilon k x_1 x_2 \\ \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial t} &= \eta_2 \Delta x_2 + k_1 x_1 - k_2 x_2.\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Setting  $\frac{\partial x_2}{\partial t}$  to zero et neglecting  $\eta_2$  yields an incorrect slow model (diffusion and kinetics)

$$\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial t} = \eta_1 \Delta x_1 - \epsilon(kk_1/k_2)x_1^2$$

whereas reduction via the above computations provides the correct slow equation

$$(1 + k_1/k_2)\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial t} = (\eta_1 + \eta_2 k_1/k_2)\Delta x_1 - \epsilon(kk_1/k_2)x_1^2.$$

## References

- [1] V. Van Breusegem and G. Bastin. A singular perturbation approach to the reduced order dynamical modelling of reaction systems. Technical Report 92.14, CESAME, University of Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium, 1993.
- [2] J. Carr. *Application of Center Manifold Theory*. Springer, 1981.
- [3] P. Duchêne and P. Rouchon. Kinetic scheme reduction via geometric singular perturbation techniques. *Chem. Eng. Science*, 51:4661–4672, 1996.
- [4] N. Fenichel. Geometric singular perturbation theory for ordinary differential equations. *J. Diff. Equations*, 31:53–98, 1979.