A characterization of analytic ruled surfaces

P. Rouchon

Centre Automatique et Systèmes, École des Mines de Paris 60, Bd Saint-Michel, 75272 Paris cedex 06, France. E-mail: rouchon@cas.ensmp.fr

Internal note number 431, March 1993

We address the following question. Consider a sub-manifold of an affine space, defined by its equations F=0: does there exist a finite characterization of ruled sub-manifolds in terms of derivatives of F via algebraic inequalities and/or equalities? This question is related to an open problem in control theory: the finite characterization of flat systems [2] and, more generally, of systems linearizable via dynamic feedback [1]. This note has been motivated by interesting discussions and electronic mails with François Labourie. It presents a characterization of analytic ruled surfaces of \mathbb{R}^3 : the second and third derivatives of F satisfy one algebraic inequality and one algebraic equality.

Main result

Theorem Consider a surface Σ of \mathbb{R}^3 defined by $x_3 = f(x_1, x_2)$ where $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is analytic. The surface Σ is ruled if, and only if, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the two following conditions are satisfied:

- **C1**: $\det(D^2 f) \le 0$.
- **C2**: resultant of $\{D^2 f[X, X], D^3 f[X, X, X]\} = 0$.

where $X = (X_1, X_2)^T$ are formal variables,

$$D^{2}f[X,X] = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} f_{ij}X_{i}X_{j}, \quad D^{3}f[X,X,X] = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{2} f_{ijk}X_{i}X_{j}X_{k}$$

with
$$f_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$
 and $f_{ijk} = \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k}$, for $i, j, k = 1, 2$.

Resultant calculations for two polynomials can be found in [7, page 84]. The resultant of $D^2f[X,X]$ and $D^3f[X,X,X]$ is a real polynomial in the f_{ij} 's and f_{ijk} 's, homogeneous of degree 3 in the f_{ij} 's and homogeneous of degree 2 in the f_{ijk} 's. This resultant is equal to zero, if, and only if, the equations $D^2f[X,X]=0$ and $D^3f[X,X,X]=0$ admit a non trivial common solution $X=a\in\mathbb{C}^2/\{0\}$ (see [8] for more details).

Proof

According to [4, proof of theorem 2], C1 and C2 are clearly necessary. We will prove now that these conditions are sufficient. Assume that C1 and C2 hold.

Case 1

Assume that $\det(D^2 f) \equiv 0$. When $D^2 f \equiv 0$, Σ is an affine space and thus is ruled. Assume additionally that $D^2 f \neq 0$. Since f is analytic, for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (excepted a countable set of isolated points) $D^2 f(x)$ is of rank 1.

Consider $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that rank $D^2 f(x) = 1$. Then there exists $(a_1(x), a_2(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^2/\{0\}$ such that,

$$D^2 f(x) \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1(x) \\ a_2(x) \end{array} \right) = 0$$

where, moreover, $x \to a(x)$ is analytic and defined locally around x (a(x) belongs to the kernel of the linear operator $D^2 f(x)$ that depends analytically on x and is of rank 1 around x). We will see that for $k \ge 2$,

$$D^{k}f(x) \left[\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} a_{1}(x) \\ a_{2}(x) \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} a_{1}(x) \\ a_{2}(x) \end{pmatrix}}_{k-1 \text{ times}}, \begin{pmatrix} X_{1} \\ X_{2} \end{pmatrix} \right] = 0.$$
 (1)

The derivation with respect to x of the identity,

$$D^2 f(x) \left[\left(\begin{array}{c} a_1(x) \\ a_2(x) \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{array} \right) \right] = 0$$

leads to

$$D^3f(x)\left[\left(\begin{array}{c}a_1(x)\\a_2(x)\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}X_1\\X_2\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}Y_1\\Y_2\end{array}\right)\right]+D^2f(x)\left[\left(\begin{array}{c}Da_1(x)\cdot Y\\Da_2(x)\cdot Y\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}X_1\\X_2\end{array}\right)\right]=0$$

where $Y=(Y_1,Y_2)$ corresponds to formal variables independent of X. By taking $X_1=a_1(x)$ and $X_2=a_2(x)$, we obtain (1) for k=3. An additional derivation leads to (1) for k=4, It appears clearly that (1) can be proved by induction on k via successive derivations. For all $k \geq 2$, (1) implies that $D^k f(x)[a(x),\ldots,a(x)]=0$.

When rank $D^2 f(x) = 1$, there exists a straight line passing through (x, f(x)) and included in Σ : its direction is given by the vector $(a(x), Df(x)[a(x)]) \neq 0$. (the analytic function $\mathbb{R} \ni \lambda \to f(x + \lambda a(x))$ is linear since all its derivatives of order > 2 are equal to 0 for $\lambda = 0$).

By density and compacity arguments, one can prove that, even if x is one of the isolated points where $D^2f(x)=0$, there exists a straight line passing through (x,f(x)) and contained in Σ . It suffices to consider a series $(x^n)_{n\geq 0}$ converging to x such that $D^2f(x^n)\neq 0$ (density). Up to an extraction of a convergent sub-series, the corresponding series of directions $(a^n)_{n\geq 0}$ with $\|a^n\|=1$ converges to $a\neq 0$ (compacity of S^1). Then, (a,Df(x)[a]) gives the direction of a straight line included in Σ and passing through x.

Case 2

Assume that $det(D^2f) \neq 0$. Since f is analytic, for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (up to a countable set of isolated points) $\det(D^2 f)(x) < 0$.

Consider x such that $\det(D^2f)(x) < 0$. We have the following decomposition

$$D^2f[X,X] = M(X) N(X)$$
(2)

where the homogeneous polynomials of degree 1, M(X) and N(X), correspond to independent linear forms with real coefficients that are analytical functions of x. For Q(X), a polynomial those coefficients are C^1 functions of x, we denote by Q'(X,Y) the polynomial obtained via derivation with respect to x:

$$Q'(X,Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(Q(X)) \cdot Y$$

(as $X, Y = (Y_1, Y_2)^T$ corresponds to formal variables). By $\mathbf{C2}, \ D^3 f[X, X, X]$ admits a common non zero root with $D^2 f[X, X]$. Thus, $D^3 f[X, X, X]$ can be divided by M or N (M and N are of degree 1), says M for example. This gives

$$D^{3}f[X, X, X] = A_{3}(X)M(X)$$

where $A_3(X)$ is an homogeneous polynomial of degree 2. Derivation of (2) with respect to x gives:

$$D^{3}f[X, X, Y] = M'(X, Y)N(X) + M(X)N'(X, Y).$$

This implies that M'(X,X) becomes 0 when M(X) becomes 0. Since the degree of M is equal to 1, we have necessarily M'(X,X) = B(X)M(X) where B(X)is of degree 1 (in this special case, the exponent of Hilbert's "Nullstellenstatz" equals 1).

We have obtained

$$D^3 f[X, X, X] = A_3(X) M(X), M'(X, X) = B(X)M(X).$$

Derivation with respect to x leads to

$$D^4f[X, X, X, X] = A_3'(X, X) M(X) + A_3(X)M'(X, X)$$

$$= (A_3'(X,X) + A_3(X)B(X)) M(X) = A_4(X)M(X).$$

By continuing this process, we have, for $k \geq 3$,

$$D^k f[X, \dots, X] = A_k(X)M(X)$$

where $A_k(X) = A'_{k-1}(X,X) + A_{k-1}(X)B(X)$ is an homogeneous polynomial of degree k-1. Take $a(x) \in \mathbb{R}^2/\{0\}$ such that M(a(x)). Then, for all $k \geq 2$, $D^k f(x)[a(x), \dots, a(x)] = 0.$

It results that, when $\det(D^2f)(x) < 0$, the straight line passing through (x, f(x)) with direction (a(x), Df(x)[a(x)]) belongs to Σ .

Similarly to case 1, one can proved that, even if x is one of the isolated points where $\det(D^2f)$ becomes 0, there exists a straight line passing through (x, f(x)) and contained in Σ .

We feel that this result can be extended to obtain semi algebraic characterization of ruled sub-manifolds. The tricks introduced during the proof rely on some, probably already existing, mathematical developments. Extensions to C^{∞} functions f seem also possible but will certainly require several technical precisions that would complicate the presentation.

1 Control implications

In [4], it is proved that, if the control system

$$\dot{z} = f(z, u)$$

is flat or linearizable via dynamic feedback, then, for each z, the projection onto the affine space of \dot{z} of the sub-manifold $\{(\dot{z},u)\mid (\dot{z}=f(z,u)\}$ is a ruled sub-manifold (see also [3, 5]). This means that, when $z=(z_1,z_2,z_3),\ u=(u_1,u_2)$ and

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}_1 = u_1 \\ \dot{z}_2 = u_2 \\ \dot{z}_3 = f(z, u_1, u_2), \end{cases}$$
 (3)

the surface Σ_z of \mathbb{R}^3 defined by the equation $x_3 = f(z, x_1, x_2)$ is ruled, for all z. For an analytic control system of form (3), flatness implies that Σ_z is ruled. According to the theorem here above and the resultant form after Sylvester, we have the following semi-algebraic characterization: for all u and z,

$$(f_{12})^2 - f_{11}f_{22} \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{vmatrix} f_{11} & 2f_{12} & f_{22} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & f_{11} & 2f_{12} & f_{22} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & f_{11} & 2f_{12} & f_{22}\\ f_{111} & 3f_{112} & 3f_{122} & f_{222} & 0\\ 0 & f_{111} & 3f_{112} & 3f_{122} & f_{222} \end{vmatrix} = 0$$
 (4)

where
$$f_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial u_i \partial u_j}$$
, $f_{ijk} = \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u_i \partial u_j \partial u_k}$ for $i, j, k = 1, 2$.

We feel that there must exist a characterization of flatness in finite terms (semi-algebraic set in a suitable jet-space). For (3), conditions (4) are necessary flatness conditions. Clearly, they are not sufficient since they do not imply controllability (take f = 0). If one completes (4) with controllability conditions such as, for example, the strong accessibility ones [6], does one obtain a necessary and sufficient flatness condition? This question is still open.

References

- [1] B. Charlet, J. Lévine, and R. Marino. On dynamic feedback linearization. Systems Control Letters, 13:143–151, 1989.
- [2] M. Fliess, J. Lévine, Ph. Martin, and P. Rouchon. Sur les systèmes non linéaires différentiellement plats. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, I-315:619-624, 1992.
- [3] M. Fliess, J. Lévine, Ph. Martin, and P. Rouchon. Défaut d'un système non linéaire et commande haute fréquence. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, I-316, 1993.
- [4] P. Rouchon. Necessary condition and genericity of dynamic feedback linearization. submitted.
- [5] W.M. Sluis. A necessary condition for dynamic feedback linearization. to appear.
- [6] H.J. Sussmann and V. Jurdjevic. Controllability of nonlinear systems. *J. Differential Equations*, 12:95–116, 1972.
- [7] B.L. van der Waerden. *Modern Algebra*, volume 1. Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., 1950.
- [8] B.L. van der Waerden. *Modern Algebra*, volume 2. Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., 1950.