

Lecture 5

Dynamics and control of open quantum systems

Alexandru Petrescu^{1,*}

¹*LPENS, Département de physique, Ecole normale supérieure,
Centre Automatique et Systèmes (CAS), MINES Paris, Université PSL,
Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Inria, 75005 Paris, France*

(Dated: November 7, 2023)

This lecture covers Schrieffer-Wolff perturbation theory and the Jaynes-Cummings model.

I. JAYNES-CUMMINGS HAMILTONIAN

The following Hamiltonian describes a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ interacting with a harmonic oscillator

$$H/\hbar = \frac{\omega_a}{2} \sigma^z \otimes I_r + \omega_r I_q \otimes a^\dagger a + g(\sigma^+ \otimes a + \sigma^- \otimes a^\dagger) = \frac{\omega_a}{2} \sigma^z + \omega_r a^\dagger a + g(\sigma^+ a + \sigma^- a^\dagger). \quad (1)$$

The spin is described by the Pauli matrices σ^i , together with the identity I_q , whereas for the harmonic oscillator we have the bosonic commutation relation $[a, a^\dagger] = 1$ as before.

A. Exact diagonalization

To diagonalize this Hamiltonian, it is simplest to find a conserved quantity, i.e. an operator that commutes with it. This is the excitation number $N = a^\dagger a + \frac{1+\sigma^z}{2}$. We leave the proof that $[N, H] = 0$ as an *exercise*. Then N and H will be diagonal in the same basis.

The eigenspaces of N are $V_0 = \{|0, 0\rangle\}$, $V_1 = \{|0, 1\rangle, |1, 0\rangle\}$, \dots , $V_n = \{|n-1, 1\rangle, |n, 0\rangle\}$, \dots , where the subscript of V denotes the eigenvalue of N , and the two labels of the kets count the number of excitations in the simple harmonic oscillator and in the spin, respectively. For the one-dimensional eigenspace V_0 , the eigenenergy is $E_{0,0} = -\omega_a/2$. Over V_n for $n \geq 1$,

* alexandru.petrescu@inria.fr

the Hamiltonian is represented by the two-dimensional block

$$H_n = \begin{pmatrix} \langle n-1, 1 | H | n-1, 1 \rangle & \langle n-1, 1 | H | n, 0 \rangle \\ \langle n, 0 | H | n-1, 1 \rangle & \langle n, 0 | H | n, 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (n-1)\omega_r + \frac{\omega_a}{2} & g\sqrt{n} \\ g\sqrt{n} & n\omega_r - \frac{\omega_a}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

$$= \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \omega_r I_2 + \frac{\omega_a - \omega_r}{2} \tau^z + g\sqrt{n} \tau^x.$$

We have introduced Pauli matrices τ^i , along with the identity operator, that act on the two-dimensional subspace V_n . The full Hamiltonian is block-diagonal, i.e. we write $H = H_0 \oplus H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \dots$ acting on $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots$

We may further write

$$H_n = \vec{r}_n \cdot \vec{\tau} + \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \omega_r I_2 \quad (3)$$

$$\vec{r}_n = (g\sqrt{n}, 0, \Delta/2) \equiv r_n(\sin \theta_n, 0, \cos \theta_n),$$

$$r_n = |\vec{r}_n| = \sqrt{ng^2 + \Delta^2/4}, \quad \sin \theta_n = g\sqrt{n}/r_n, \quad \cos \theta_n = \Delta/(2r_n).$$

From this form, we can calculate using the previous subsection the eigenenergies and eigenvectors in the subspace V_n for $n \geq 1$

$$E_{\pm, n} = \pm r_n,$$

$$|\psi_{+, n}\rangle = \cos\left(\frac{\theta_n}{2}\right) |n, 0\rangle + \sin\left(\frac{\theta_n}{2}\right) |n-1, 1\rangle, \quad (4)$$

$$|\psi_{-, n}\rangle = \sin\left(\frac{\theta_n}{2}\right) |n, 0\rangle - \cos\left(\frac{\theta_n}{2}\right) |n-1, 1\rangle.$$

$\theta_n/2$ can be interpreted as a ‘mixing angle’.

B. Schrieffer-Wolff Perturbation Theory

We rewrite the Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian Eq. (1) in the form

$$H = H_0 + \hbar g I_+, \quad (5)$$

where we define the unperturbed Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = \hbar\omega_r a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_a \frac{\sigma_z}{2}, \quad (6)$$

and let

$$I_\pm = a^\dagger \sigma_- \pm a \sigma_+. \quad (7)$$

I_+ is the Hermitian operator that defines the perturbation, and I_- is an antihermitian operator that will enter the definition of the generator of the Schrieffer-Wolff transformation below.

Under the assumption that $|\Delta| \equiv |\omega_a - \omega_r| \gg g$, the Hamiltonian Eq. (1) can be diagonalized by the unitary transformation

$$\mathbf{D} = e^{-\Lambda(N_q)I_-}, \quad (8)$$

with the following definitions

$$\Lambda(N_q) = -\frac{\arctan(2\lambda\sqrt{N_q})}{2\sqrt{N_q}}, \quad (9)$$

$$N_q \equiv a^\dagger a + \Pi_e,$$

where $\Pi_e = |e\rangle\langle e|$ is the projector onto the excited state of the atom $\sigma_z |e\rangle = |e\rangle$.

Under the action of \mathbf{D} in Eq. (8),

$$H^{\mathbf{D}} \equiv \mathbf{D}^\dagger H \mathbf{D} = \hbar\omega_r a^\dagger a + \hbar\omega_a \frac{\sigma_z}{2} - \frac{\hbar\Delta}{2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda^2 N_q}\right) \sigma_z. \quad (10)$$

In the following subsection we derive this result. This solution draws from Boissonneault *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 013819 (2009).

1. Derivation

We first define the commutator as a superoperator

$$\mathcal{C}_A B \equiv [A, B], \quad \mathcal{C}_A^m B = \overbrace{[A, [A, [A, \dots, B]]]}^{m \text{ times}}, \quad (11)$$

whence the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula becomes

$$e^A B e^{-A} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \mathcal{C}_A^n B. \quad (12)$$

Writing the unitary that we are seeking in the form of Eq. (8)

$$\mathbf{D} = e^{-\Lambda(N_q)I_-}, \quad (13)$$

with Λ a yet unspecified function, we note that since N_q commutes with either H or I_\pm , then $\Lambda(N_q)$ can be treated as a scalar when considering the nested commutators of the BCH formula Eq. (12) applied with $A = H$ and $B = \Lambda(N_q)I_-$.

Since

$$\mathcal{C}_{I_-} H_0 = \hbar \Delta I_+, \quad (14)$$

we can recast the transformed Hamiltonian Eq. (5) using Eq. (12)

$$H^{\mathbf{D}} \equiv \mathbf{D}^\dagger H \mathbf{D} = H_0 + \hbar \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)g + \Delta \Lambda}{(n+1)!} \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda I_-}^n I_+. \quad (15)$$

To evaluate the sum, we need the following identities, which can be proved by induction

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda I_-}^{2n} I_+ &= (-4)^n \Lambda^{2n} N_q^n I_+, \\ \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda I_-}^{2n+1} I_+ &= -2(-4)^n \Lambda^{2n+1} N_q^{n+1} \sigma_z. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

This allows us to evaluate the sum in Eq. (15)

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\mathbf{D}} = H_0 + \hbar \left\{ \frac{\Delta \sin(2\Lambda \sqrt{N_q})}{2\sqrt{N_q}} + g \cos(2\Lambda \sqrt{N_q}) \right\} I_+ \\ - 2\hbar N_q \sigma_z \left\{ \frac{g \sin(2\Lambda \sqrt{N_q})}{2\sqrt{N_q}} + \frac{\Delta [1 - \cos(2\Lambda \sqrt{N_q})]}{4N_q} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Note that this expression contains both off-diagonal (second term in the equation above) and diagonal terms (first and third terms). We may now make the choice

$$\Lambda(N_q) = \frac{-\arctan(2\lambda \sqrt{N_q})}{2\sqrt{N_q}} \quad (18)$$

that nulls the off-diagonal term, to obtain

$$H^{\mathbf{D}} = H_0 - \frac{\hbar \Delta}{2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda^2 N_q} \right) \sigma_z. \quad (19)$$

We can now define Lamb and ac Stark shift operators as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_L &\equiv H^{\mathbf{D}}(0, 1) - H^{\mathbf{D}}(0, -1) - \hbar \omega_a = -\frac{\hbar \Delta}{2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda^2} \right) \\ \delta_S(a^\dagger a) &\equiv H^{\mathbf{D}}(a^\dagger a, 1) - H^{\mathbf{D}}(a^\dagger a, -1) - \delta_L - \hbar \omega_a \\ &= \frac{\hbar \Delta}{2} \left(\sqrt{1 + 4\lambda^2 (a^\dagger a + 1)} + \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda^2 a^\dagger a} - 1 - \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Note that the unitary operator redefines the excitations in the problem. We have for the operators that were previously diagonal in the eigenbases of the atom and oscillator, respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_z^{\mathbf{D}} &= \sigma_z \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 4\lambda^2 N_q}} \right) - \frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{1 + 4\lambda^2 N_q}} I_+, \\ (a^\dagger a)^{\mathbf{D}} &= a^\dagger a + \frac{\sigma_z}{2} + \frac{(\lambda I_+ - \sigma_z/2)}{\sqrt{1 + 4N_q \lambda^2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a^{\mathbf{D}} &\approx a \left[1 + \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma_z}{2} \right] + \lambda \left[1 - 3\lambda^2 \left(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \sigma_- + \lambda^3 a^2 \sigma_+ \\ \sigma_-^{\mathbf{D}} &\approx \sigma_- \left[1 - \lambda^2 \left(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] + \lambda a \sigma_z - \lambda^2 a^2 \sigma_+ \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Finally, the Hamiltonian up to cubic order in λ is

$$H^{\mathbf{D}} \approx \hbar (\omega_r + \zeta) a^\dagger a + \hbar \left[\omega_a + 2\chi \left(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \frac{\sigma_z}{2} + \hbar \zeta (a^\dagger a)^2 \sigma_z, \quad (23)$$

where we have introduced

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= g^2 (1 - \lambda^2) / \Delta, \\ \zeta &= -g^4 / \Delta^3. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

C. Coupling to environment

Suppose that the system described by H in Eq. (5) is coupled to a bath via the operator $A = a + a^\dagger$ via $H_{SB} = A \otimes B$ with B some bath operator as introduced in earlier lectures on the Lindblad master equation. Can we use the Schrieffer-Wolff approach to compute the so-called Purcell relaxation rate? We assume zero temperature throughout this subsection.

First, the system-bath coupling would be written in the interaction picture with respect to H , so we need to evaluate the time-evolution operator $U(t, 0)$. First we reexpress it as follows using the unitarity of \mathbf{D}

$$e^{-iHt} = \mathbf{D} e^{-iH^{\mathbf{D}}t} \mathbf{D}^\dagger. \quad (25)$$

Then we note that under \mathbf{D} the system operator coupling to the bath transforms as (according to Eq. (22))

$$\begin{aligned} a + a^\dagger \rightarrow a^{\mathbf{D}} + a^{\dagger\mathbf{D}} &\approx a \left[1 + \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma_z}{2} \right] + \lambda \left[1 - 3\lambda^2 \left(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \sigma_- + \lambda^3 a^2 \sigma_+ + \text{H.c.} \\ &\approx a + \lambda \sigma_- + \text{H.c.}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where we have kept the lowest-order contribution linear in λ .

We now need to recall how the Lindblad master equation is derived. We first need to express the system-bath coupling Hamiltonian in the interaction picture with respect to the uncoupled system and bath Hamiltonians, that is, we need

$$A(t) \equiv e^{iHt} (a + a^\dagger) e^{-iHt} = \mathbf{D} e^{iH^{\mathbf{D}}t} \mathbf{D}^\dagger (a + a^\dagger) \mathbf{D} e^{-iH^{\mathbf{D}}t} \mathbf{D}^\dagger = \mathbf{D} e^{iH^{\mathbf{D}}t} (a^{\mathbf{D}} + a^{\dagger\mathbf{D}}) e^{-iH^{\mathbf{D}}t} \mathbf{D}^\dagger, \quad (27)$$

or equivalently

$$A^{\mathbf{D}}(t) \equiv \mathbf{D}^\dagger A(t) \mathbf{D} = e^{iH^{\mathbf{D}}t} (a^{\mathbf{D}} + a^{\dagger\mathbf{D}}) e^{-iH^{\mathbf{D}}t} \equiv \sum_{\omega} A^{\mathbf{D}}(\omega) e^{-i\omega t}. \quad (28)$$

This suggests it is more convenient to write the Lindblad master equation in the frame rotated by \mathbf{D} .

If the von Neumann equation is

$$\dot{\rho} = -i[H_{total}, \rho], \quad (29)$$

then in the rotated frame

$$\dot{\rho}^{\mathbf{D}} = -i[H_{total}^{\mathbf{D}}, \rho^{\mathbf{D}}]. \quad (30)$$

Therefore the equation for the reduced density matrix $\rho^{\mathbf{D}}$ (abuse of notation) is

$$\dot{\rho}^{\mathbf{D}} = -i[H^{\mathbf{D}}, \rho^{\mathbf{D}}] + \sum_{\omega} \gamma(\omega) \mathcal{D}[A^{\mathbf{D}}(\omega)] \rho^{\mathbf{D}}, \quad (31)$$

with $\gamma(\omega)$ being related to the bilateral power spectral density of the bath modes as in Eq. (43) of Lecture 2 with $\alpha = \beta$. Then all that remains is then to evaluate Eq. (28). To get our answer we will do this using the order- λ result of Eq. (26), and use $H^{\mathbf{D}}$ of Eq. (32) up to order order λ , i.e.

$$H^{\mathbf{D}} = \hbar\omega_r a^\dagger a + \hbar(\omega_a + \chi) \frac{\sigma_z}{2} + \hbar\chi a^\dagger a \sigma_z + O(\lambda^2) \quad (32)$$

In evaluating Eq. (28) we furthermore neglect terms of order χ in $H^{\mathbf{D}}$, ultimately using its order- λ^0 contributions only. Then we find

$$A^{\mathbf{D}}(\omega_a) = \lambda\sigma_-, A^{\mathbf{D}}(-\omega_a) = \lambda\sigma_+, A^{\mathbf{D}}(\omega_r) = a, A^{\mathbf{D}}(-\omega_r) = a^\dagger, \quad (33)$$

leading to

$$\dot{\rho}^{\mathbf{D}} = -i[H^{\mathbf{D}}, \rho^{\mathbf{D}}] + \gamma(\omega_r) \mathcal{D}[a] \rho^{\mathbf{D}} + \lambda^2 \gamma(\omega_a) \mathcal{D}[\sigma_-] \rho^{\mathbf{D}}. \quad (34)$$

Assuming that the bath power spectral density is flat with $\gamma(\omega) = \kappa$, we get the result

$$\dot{\rho}^{\mathbf{D}} = -i[H^{\mathbf{D}}, \rho^{\mathbf{D}}] + \kappa \mathcal{D}[a] \rho^{\mathbf{D}} + \lambda^2 \kappa \mathcal{D}[\sigma_-] \rho^{\mathbf{D}}, \quad (35)$$

leading to the formula for the Purcell decay rate of the qubit (rate of radiative decay of an atom coupled to a detuned lossy cavity)

$$\gamma_P = \left(\frac{g}{\Delta}\right)^2 \kappa. \quad (36)$$

Note that this is primarily due to the ‘hybridization’ of the qubit with the cavity, given by the hybridization coefficient $\lambda \ll 1$, and that therefore this is an apparently weak effect on the qubit $\gamma_P \ll \kappa$, which however turns out to be important in practice.

II. ORDER-BY-ORDER ROTATING-WAVE APPROXIMATION FROM SCHRIEFFER-WOLFF PERTURBATION THEORY

Note for Fall 2023 course: This material was not covered in class, so it will not be on the exam. Below we consider a generic Schrieffer-Wolff perturbation theory for time-dependent Hamiltonians. Let us consider a generic Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff expansion of the form

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\hat{G}_1(t)}(\hat{H}_1 - i\partial_t)e^{\hat{G}_1(t)} &= \hat{H}_1 - i\dot{\hat{G}}_1 + [\hat{H}_1, \hat{G}_1] - \frac{i}{2}[\dot{\hat{G}}_1, \hat{G}_1] + \frac{1}{2!}[[\hat{H}_1, \hat{G}_1], \hat{G}_1] - \frac{i}{3!}[[\dot{\hat{G}}_1, \hat{G}_1], \hat{G}_1] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3!}[[[\hat{H}_1, \hat{G}_1], \hat{G}_1], \hat{G}_1] - i\partial_t + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Let us assume that the generator can be expanded as follows:

$$\hat{G}_1(t) = \lambda\hat{G}_1^{(1)}(t) + \lambda^2\hat{G}_1^{(2)}(t) + \dots \quad (37)$$

We can rewrite (37) up to contributions of order λ^3 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\hat{G}_1}(\hat{H}_1 - i\partial_t)e^{\hat{G}_1} &= \\ &\hat{H}_1 - i\lambda\dot{\hat{G}}_1^{(1)} \\ &+ [\hat{H}_1, \lambda\hat{G}_1^{(1)}] - \frac{i}{2}[\lambda\dot{\hat{G}}_1^{(1)}, \lambda\hat{G}_1^{(1)}] - i\lambda^2\dot{\hat{G}}_1^{(2)} \\ &+ [\hat{H}_1, \lambda^2\hat{G}_1^{(2)}] - \frac{i}{2}[\lambda^2\dot{\hat{G}}_1^{(2)}, \lambda\hat{G}_1^{(1)}] - \frac{i}{2}[\lambda\dot{\hat{G}}_1^{(1)}, \lambda^2\hat{G}_1^{(2)}] + \frac{1}{2!}[[\hat{H}_1, \lambda\hat{G}_1^{(1)}], \lambda\hat{G}_1^{(1)}] - \frac{i}{3!}[[\lambda\dot{\hat{G}}_1^{(1)}, \lambda\hat{G}_1^{(1)}], \lambda\hat{G}_1^{(1)}] \\ &\quad - i\lambda^3\dot{\hat{G}}_1^{(3)} \\ &- i\partial_t + O(\lambda^4) \\ \equiv &\lambda\hat{H}_1^{(1)}(t) - i\lambda\dot{\hat{G}}_1^{(1)} \\ &+ \lambda^2\hat{H}_1^{(2)}(t) - i\lambda^2\dot{\hat{G}}_1^{(2)} \\ &+ \lambda^3\hat{H}_1^{(3)}(t) - i\lambda^3\dot{\hat{G}}_1^{(3)} \\ &- i\partial_t + O(\lambda^4). \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

The first, second, and third row contain terms that are first-order, second-order and third-order in λ , respectively. We needed to introduce the following notation:

$$\hat{H}_I(t) \equiv \lambda \hat{H}_I^{(1)}(t) \equiv \overline{\hat{H}_I^{(1)}} + \lambda \widetilde{\hat{H}_I^{(1)}}(t), \quad (39)$$

and moreover let us define more generally for $k > 1$ integer a separation over constant and oscillatory terms:

$$\lambda^k \hat{H}_I^{(k)}(t) \equiv \lambda^k \overline{\hat{H}_I^{(k)}} + \lambda^k \widetilde{\hat{H}_I^{(k)}}(t). \quad (40)$$

Definition (DC and AC parts of a time-dependent operator). The definitions above involved the DC part of a time-dependent operator $\hat{O}(t)$, defined as:

$$\overline{\hat{O}} \equiv \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \hat{O}(t). \quad (41)$$

Moreover, we may define the AC, or oscillatory part, of the operator, according to

$$\widetilde{\hat{O}}(t) \equiv \hat{O}(t) - \overline{\hat{O}}. \quad (42)$$

Properties. The operations $\overline{\hat{O}}$ and $\widetilde{\hat{O}}(t)$ are linear, in the sense that $\overline{\hat{O}_1 + \hat{O}_2(t)} = \overline{\hat{O}_1(t)} + \overline{\hat{O}_2(t)}$, and $\widetilde{\hat{O}_1 + \hat{O}_2(t)} = \widetilde{\hat{O}_1(t)} + \widetilde{\hat{O}_2(t)}$. Moreover, they are idempotent: $\overline{\overline{\hat{O}}} = \overline{\hat{O}}$, and $\widetilde{\widetilde{\hat{O}}} = \widetilde{\hat{O}}$, but application of one after another gives zero: $\overline{\widetilde{\hat{O}}} = 0$, and $\widetilde{\overline{\hat{O}}} = 0$. Thus, they appear to share properties with a pair of projectors onto complementary Hilbert subspaces.

Having introduced these notations, we are equipped to write the iterative procedure to derive the RWA Hamiltonian. The condition for removing non-RWA terms at order λ^k :

$$\lambda^k \hat{H}_I^{(k)}(t) - i \lambda^k \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(k)}(t) = \lambda^k \overline{\hat{H}_I^{(k)}}, \quad (43)$$

Note that $\lambda^k \hat{H}_I^{(k)}(t)$ for $k \geq 2$ is generally dependent on $\hat{G}_I^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{G}_I^{(k-1)}$, which means that this is an iterated procedure: Equation (43) must be solved in order for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Once the first k equations have been solved, we can write down the RWA Hamiltonian in the following form

$$e^{-\hat{G}_I(t)} (\hat{H}_I - i \partial_t) e^{\hat{G}_I(t)} = \sum_{l=1}^k \lambda^l \overline{\hat{H}_I^{(l)}} + O(\lambda^{k+1}), \quad (44)$$

where terms of order λ^{k+1} are time-dependent, but terms of order $\leq k$ are stationary.

A. First-order RWA

In the first iteration we write Eq. (43) for $k = 1$:

$$\lambda \hat{H}_I^{(1)}(t) - i\lambda \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}(t) = \overline{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)}, \quad (45)$$

which yields, upon recalling the separation of $\lambda \hat{H}_I^{(1)}(t)$, Eq. (40):

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \tilde{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)} - i\lambda \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)} = 0 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \lambda \hat{G}_I^{(1)}(t) &= \frac{\lambda}{i} \int^t dt' \tilde{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)}(t') + \lambda \hat{G}_{I,0}^{(1)} \\ &\equiv \lambda \tilde{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}(t) + \lambda \overline{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)} \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Note that the integral is indefinite, so that the first term is oscillatory, and we can set $\frac{\lambda}{i} \int^t dt' \tilde{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)}(t') \equiv \lambda \tilde{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}(t)$, while the second term is the integration constant, which sets the DC part of the order- λ generator $\lambda \hat{G}_{I,0}^{(1)} \equiv \lambda \overline{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}$. Imposing the equation above, we rewrite Eq. (38) where to $O(\lambda)$ we have obtained a stationary Hamiltonian:

$$e^{-\hat{G}_I}(\hat{H}_I - i\partial_t)e^{\hat{G}_I} = \lambda \tilde{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)} + O(\lambda^2), \quad (47)$$

where we recall that, from the definition (39), $\lambda \tilde{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)} = \overline{\hat{H}}_I$. This is the standard RWA approximation.

B. Second-order RWA

We move on to second order in λ . The second-order terms were:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 \hat{H}_I^{(2)}(t) - i\lambda^2 \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(2)} &= [\hat{H}_I(t), \lambda \hat{G}_I^{(1)}(t)] - \frac{i}{2} [\lambda \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}(t), \lambda \hat{G}_I^{(1)}(t)] - i\lambda^2 \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(2)} \\ &= [\hat{H}_I(t) - \frac{i}{2} \lambda \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}(t), \lambda \hat{G}_I^{(1)}(t)] - i\lambda^2 \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(2)} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Eq. (45)}}{=} [\lambda \overline{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)} + \frac{i}{2} \lambda \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}(t), \lambda \hat{G}_I^{(1)}(t)] - i\lambda^2 \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(2)} \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Condition (43) for $k = 2$ implies the following equation for $\hat{G}_I^{(2)}(t)$:

$$[\lambda \overline{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)} + \frac{i}{2} \lambda \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}(t), \lambda \hat{G}_I^{(1)}(t)] - i\lambda^2 \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(2)} = \lambda^2 \overline{\hat{H}}_I^{(2)}, \quad (49)$$

where the second-order RWA Hamiltonian is

$$\lambda^2 \overline{\hat{H}}_I^{(2)} \equiv \overline{[\lambda \overline{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)} + \frac{i}{2} \lambda \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}(t), \lambda \hat{G}_I^{(1)}(t)]} \quad (50)$$

We can simplify this form by using the separation of $\hat{G}_I^{(1)}(t)$ into DC and AC components:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^2 \overline{\hat{H}}_I^{(2)} &\equiv \overline{[\lambda \overline{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)} + \frac{i}{2} \lambda \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}(t), \lambda \tilde{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}(t) + \lambda \overline{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}]} \\ &= [\lambda \overline{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)}, \lambda \overline{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}] + \overline{[\frac{i}{2} \lambda \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}(t), \lambda \tilde{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}(t)]}.\end{aligned}\quad (51)$$

Remark that the cross terms vanished under time-averaging. We may wish to express this in terms of the Hamiltonian, so we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^2 \overline{\hat{H}}_I^{(2)} &= [\lambda \overline{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)}, \lambda \overline{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}] + \frac{1}{2i} \overline{[\lambda \tilde{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)}(t), \int^t \lambda \tilde{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)}(t') dt']} \\ &= [\lambda \overline{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)}, \lambda \overline{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}] + \frac{1}{2i} \overline{[\hat{H}_I(t) - \lambda \overline{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)}, \int^t (\hat{H}_I(t') - \lambda \overline{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)}) dt']}.\end{aligned}\quad (52)$$

Note the first term, which corresponds to the boundary condition, and hence the DC part, of the generator.

For further use in the third-order RWA, recall that the generator obeys the equation

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^2 \tilde{\hat{H}}_I^{(2)} - i \lambda^2 \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(2)} = 0 &\quad \leftrightarrow \quad \lambda^2 \hat{G}_I^{(2)}(t) = \frac{\lambda^2}{i} \int^t dt' \tilde{\hat{H}}_I^{(2)}(t') + \lambda^2 \hat{G}_{I,0}^{(2)} \\ &\equiv \lambda \tilde{\hat{G}}_I^{(2)}(t) + \lambda \overline{\hat{G}}_I^{(2)},\end{aligned}\quad (53)$$

where we write the oscillating part of the Hamiltonian at second-order in λ as follows:

$$\lambda^2 \tilde{\hat{H}}_I^{(2)}(t) = \lambda^2 \hat{H}_I^{(2)}(t) - \lambda^2 \overline{\hat{H}}_I^{(2)}.\quad (54)$$

C. Third-order RWA

The third-order terms are

$$\begin{aligned}&+ [\hat{H}_I, \lambda^2 \hat{G}_I^{(2)}] - \frac{i}{2} [\lambda^2 \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(2)}, \lambda \hat{G}_I^{(1)}] - \frac{i}{2} [\lambda \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}, \lambda^2 \hat{G}_I^{(2)}] + \frac{1}{2!} [[\hat{H}_I, \lambda \hat{G}_I^{(1)}], \lambda \hat{G}_I^{(1)}] - \frac{i}{3!} [[\lambda \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}, \lambda \hat{G}_I^{(1)}], \lambda \hat{G}_I^{(1)}] \\ &\quad - i \lambda^3 \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(3)} \\ &= \lambda^3 \hat{H}_I^{(3)}(t) - i \lambda^3 \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(3)} \equiv \lambda^3 \overline{\hat{H}}_I^{(3)} + \lambda^3 \tilde{\hat{H}}_I^{(3)}(t) - i \lambda^3 \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(3)} = \lambda^3 \overline{\hat{H}}_I^{(3)}\end{aligned}\quad (55)$$

The third-order RWA Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^3 \overline{\hat{H}}_I^{(3)} &= \underbrace{[\hat{H}_I, \lambda^2 \hat{G}_I^{(2)}]}_{\text{term 1}} - \underbrace{\frac{i}{2} [\lambda^2 \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(2)}, \lambda \hat{G}_I^{(1)}]}_{\text{term 2}} - \underbrace{\frac{i}{2} [\lambda \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}, \lambda^2 \hat{G}_I^{(2)}]}_{\text{term 3}} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2!} [[\hat{H}_I, \lambda \hat{G}_I^{(1)}], \lambda \hat{G}_I^{(1)}]}_{\text{term 4}} - \underbrace{\frac{i}{3!} [[\lambda \dot{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}, \lambda \hat{G}_I^{(1)}], \lambda \hat{G}_I^{(1)}]}_{\text{term 5}}\end{aligned}\quad (56)$$

D. RWA Hamiltonian up to third-order assuming no DC part to generator

We collect here the simpler expressions under the assumption $\overline{\hat{G}_I^{(k)}} = 0$. We will test the validity of this assumption by checking this RWA transformation against some simple test cases.

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\lambda \hat{H}_I^{(1)}} &= \overline{\hat{H}_I} \\
\overline{\lambda^2 \hat{H}_I^{(2)}} &= \frac{1}{2} \overline{\left[\lambda \tilde{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)}(1), \lambda \tilde{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}(t) \right]} \\
\overline{\lambda^3 \hat{H}_I^{(3)}} &= +\frac{1}{2} \overline{[\lambda \tilde{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)}(1), \lambda \tilde{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}(t)], \lambda \tilde{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}(t)} + \frac{1}{3} \overline{[\lambda \tilde{\hat{H}}_I^{(1)}(1), \lambda \tilde{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}(t)], \lambda \tilde{\hat{G}}_I^{(1)}(t)]}. \quad (57)
\end{aligned}$$